

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/294,073	04/19/1999	SUK-SONG OH	3598-6	5738
-	90 01/03/2002			
M. AUTHUR AUSLANDER AUSLANDER & THOMAS			EXAMINER	
505 EIGHTH A NEW YORK, N	VENUE		WILSON, JOHN J	
7.2 W 7.0 Rd., 14	10010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_			Sh
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/294,073	OH, SUK-SONG	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		John J. Wilson	3732	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	dress
Period fo	• •	DEDLY IC CET TO EVOIDE AM	ONTU(O) EDOM	
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR PAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely FHS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>29 June 2001</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice to			e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🖾	Claim(s) 7-13 is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 7-13 is/are rejected.	•	•	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) 🔲 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objectio		, ,	
11) 🗌 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examine	∍r.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.		
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority doct			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	·	•	
* S	 Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action for 	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do	·		application).
a	The translation of the foreign langua	ge provisional application has be	en received.	
Attachment	_	, ,	<u></u>	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	·	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(nformal Patent Application (PTO	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/294,073

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Martin. Martin shows a gutta-percha point having a plurality of spaced integral working length marks 2-5 along the upper portion as shown.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin in view of Cohen. Martin does not show using color marks. Cohen teaches using color. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill I the art to modify Martin to include colored marks as shown by Cohen in order to make use of well known ways in the art to make marks that can be seen clearly.

Application/Control Number: 09/294,073

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin. Martin shows the structure described above, however, does not shows painting, claim 10. Martin does teach that the marks can be made by any conventional mechanical imprinting method, column 2, lines 61-64. To use painting is an obvious matter of choice in well known conventional methods to one of ordinary skill in the art. As to claim 11, Martin teaches 19mm to 25mm. The specific range of distances used is an obvious matter of choice in the degree of a known parameter used in order to better fit a tooth canal.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin in view of Johnson. Martin does not show using embossed marks. Johnson teaches using embossed marks 20. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill I the art to modify Martin to include embossed marks as shown by Johnson in order to make use of well known ways in the art to make marks that can be seen clearly.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin in view of Harrisson, III. Martin does not show using engraved marks. Harrisson teaches using engraving, column 4, line 20. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill I the art to modify Martin to include engraved marks as shown by Harrisson in order to make use of well known ways in the art to make marks that can be seen clearly.

Application/Control Number: 09/294,073

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

Applicant's remarks filed June 29, 2000 have been considered, however, are deemed moot in view of the newly applied reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Wilson at telephone number (703) 308-2699.

John J. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

J. Will

jjw December 31, 2001 Fax (703) 308-2708